Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/605,799	LIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Briggitte R. Hammond	2833	

All Participants:

(1) *Briggitte R. Hammond*.

(2) *Wei Te Chung*.

Status of Application: *allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *3/5/04*

Time: *12:30 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-20

Prior art documents discussed:
Matsuoka et al 5,026,303 and 4,931,020

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant's representative agreed to amend the claims to define over the prior art and to make claims unobvious. Applicant's representative also agreed to the cancellation of claims 19 and 20 in favor of an election/restriction requirement.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)